

**From:** [Neff, Jennifer](#)  
**To:** [Kubatko, Laura](#); [Freudenstein, John](#)  
**Cc:** [Hadad, Christopher](#); [Vankeerbergen, Bernadette](#); [Steele, Rachel](#); [DeGirolamo, Anne](#)  
**Subject:** RE: EEOB 7010 & 7020  
**Date:** Friday, January 23, 2026 12:42:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Good afternoon,

On Tuesday, January 13<sup>th</sup>, the Natural and Mathematical Sciences Subcommittee of the ASC Curriculum Committee reviewed new course requests for EEOB 7010 & 7020.

The Subcommittee declined to vote on the requests at this time and asks that the following feedback for both courses be addressed in revisions:

- The Subcommittee recognizes that this submission represents a revised proposal; however, they request a brief cover letter or response document outlining how the department addressed the Subcommittee's prior feedback. This response should indicate where changes were made in the syllabus and provide brief explanations for any feedback items that were not addressed. While the Subcommittee notes that some prior concerns appear to have been resolved, the absence of a response document makes it difficult to assess how the department engaged with the prior feedback. Accordingly, the Subcommittee requests that the department revisit the prior feedback and resubmit the proposal with a cover letter and revised materials.

Subcommittee feedback from October 30<sup>th</sup>, 2025:

- Note: The Subcommittee agrees with the department that concurrence is not necessary for this course (curriculum.osu.edu under "Comments"). However, they note that this is because the course content is firmly situated in EEOB and is not in danger of overlapping with the offerings of other academic units. The fact that the course is a core requirement in the graduate program or that it is designed for EEOB students does not have any bearing on concurrence. More information on concurrence is available in the [ASCC Operations Manual](#) on pp. 17-18.
- The Subcommittee asks that the department provide more information in the syllabus about the format and content of the course's exams/tests.
- The Subcommittee asks that the department provide additional information about the course's readings in the syllabus. Specifically, they ask that full citations of the readings be included in the Course Schedule so that they can get an idea of the course's workload, the pace of the course, and how the course's readings overlay with the listed topics.
- The Subcommittee asks that the department amend the grading scale on p. 3 of the syllabus. All of the percentages, excepting the ones for a grade of "E", overlap (e.g., a grade of 93% could be both an A and an A-; a grade of 77% could earn either a C or a C+) and there are three different groups of percentages labeled as earning a "D". (It may also be pertinent to note that

Ohio State does not have an option to assign a grade of “D-”.)

- The Subcommittee asks that the department change the course component (curriculum.osu.edu under “Offering Information”), as recitations are not a component used on their own, but rather as a subsection of a large lecture. The Subcommittee offers the friendly observation that a Natural and Mathematical Sciences course of this nature is usually marked as a “lecture” or a “seminar”.
- The Subcommittee asks that the department include in the Religious Accommodations statement a link to the Civil Rights Compliance Office. The statement, including the required links, is available in an easy-to-copy/paste on the [Office of Undergraduate Education’s website](#).

I will return the courses to the department queue via curriculum.osu.edu in order to address the Subcommittee’s requests.

Should you have any questions about the feedback, please do not hesitate to reach out to Christopher Hadad (faculty Chair of the NMS Subcommittee) or me.

Best,  
Jennifer



**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY**

**Jennifer Neff**

Curriculum and Assessment Coordinator

**The Ohio State University**

College of Arts and Sciences

ASC Curriculum and Assessment Services

306A Dulles Hall, 230 Annie and John Glenn Ave, Columbus, OH 43210

614-292-3901 / [asccas.osu.edu](http://asccas.osu.edu)

Pronouns: she/her/hers

---

**From:** Neff, Jennifer

**Sent:** Thursday, November 13, 2025 1:05 PM

**To:** Kubatko, Laura <lkubatko@stat.osu.edu>; Freudenstein, John <freudenstein.1@osu.edu>

**Cc:** Hadad, Christopher <hadad.1@osu.edu>; Vankeerbergen, Bernadette <vankeerbergen.1@osu.edu>; Steele, Rachel <steele.682@osu.edu>; DeGirolamo, Anne <degirolamo.10@osu.edu>

**Subject:** EEOB 7010 & 7020

Good afternoon,

On Thursday, October 30<sup>th</sup>, the Natural and Mathematical Sciences Subcommittee of the ASC

Curriculum Committee reviewed new course requests for EEOB 7010 & 7020.

The Subcommittee declined to vote on the requests at this time and asks that the following feedback for both courses be addressed in revisions:

- Note: The Subcommittee agrees with the department that concurrence is not necessary for this course (curriculum.osu.edu under “Comments”). However, they note that this is because the course content is firmly situated in EEOB and is not in danger of overlapping with the offerings of other academic units. The fact that the course is a core requirement in the graduate program or that it is designed for EEOB students does not have any bearing on concurrence. More information on concurrence is available in the [ASCC Operations Manual](#) on pp. 17-18.
- The Subcommittee asks that the department provide more information in the syllabus about the format and content of the course’s exams/tests.
- The Subcommittee asks that the department provide additional information about the course’s readings in the syllabus. Specifically, they ask that full citations of the readings be included in the Course Schedule so that they can get an idea of the course’s workload, the pace of the course, and how the course’s readings overlay with the listed topics.
- The Subcommittee asks that the department amend the grading scale on p. 3 of the syllabus. All of the percentages, excepting the ones for a grade of “E”, overlap (e.g., a grade of 93% could be both an A and an A-; a grade of 77% could earn either a C or a C+) and there are three different groups of percentages labeled as earning a “D”. (It may also be pertinent to note that Ohio State does not have an option to assign a grade of “D-”.)
- The Subcommittee asks that the department change the course component (curriculum.osu.edu under “Offering Information”), as recitations are not a component used on their own, but rather as a subsection of a large lecture. The Subcommittee offers the friendly observation that a Natural and Mathematical Sciences course of this nature is usually marked as a “lecture” or a “seminar”.
- The Subcommittee asks that the department include in the Religious Accommodations statement a link to the Civil Rights Compliance Office. The statement, including the required links, is available in an easy-to-copy/paste on the [Office of Undergraduate Education’s website](#).

I will return EEOB 7010 & 7020 to the department queue via curriculum.osu.edu in order to address the Subcommittee’s requests.

Should you have any questions about the feedback, please do not hesitate to reach out to Christopher Hadad (faculty Chair of the NMS Subcommittee) or me.

Best,  
Jennifer



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

---

**Jennifer Neff**

Curriculum and Assessment Assistant

**The Ohio State University**

College of Arts and Sciences

ASC Curriculum and Assessment Services

306A Dulles Hall, 230 Annie and John Glenn Ave, Columbus, OH 43210

614-292-3901 / [ascas.osu.edu](http://ascas.osu.edu)

Pronouns: she/her/hers